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## Degradation of Fish Populations BUI

- Proposed Delisting Criteria
- This beneficial use will no longer be impaired when the overall fish community health within the Area of Concern is comparable to that of a suitable reference site, as assessed using an index of biotic integrity through a minimum of two consecutive studies.



## What is an Index of Biotic Integrity (IBI)?

- Scientific tool used to identify and classify faunal communities
- Biological Integrity (Clean Water Act):

The capability of supporting and maintaining a balanced, integrated, adaptive community of organisms having a species diversity and a functional organization comparable to that of natural habitats of the region (Karr and Dudley 1981)

- Biotic integrity is based on the premise that the status of living systems provides the most direct and effective measure of the integrity of water


## Why Fish?

- Fish communities include species from a number of trophic levels
- Their position atop aquatic food webs provides an integrative view of the watershed environment
- Fishes are sensitive to a wide array of stressors
- Acute toxicity (missing species) and sublethal (low growth, reproductive success) effects can be evaluated


## IBI History

- First IBI developed by Dr. James Karr in 1981 to describe the condition of small warm water streams in central Illinois and Indiana
- Approach has been modified many times for different regions and ecosystems, including a near shore Great Lakes IBI developed by Ken Minns and others in 1994


## Parameters Used to Assess GL IBI

Species richness Trophic structure Abundance \& condition<br>- Natives<br>- Centrarchids<br>- Intolerants<br>- Nonindigenous<br>- Native cyprinids<br>- \% piscivore<br>biomass<br>- \% generalist<br>biomass<br>- \% specialist<br>biomass<br>- \# native individuals<br>- Biomass of natives (kg)<br>- \% nonindigenous<br>numbers<br>- \% nonindigenous<br>biomass

## Differences in the Great Lakes IBI

- Choice of metrics (no use of hybrids, tumours)
- No need to standardize for ecosystem size
- Greater reliance on biomass than richness; energy flow in the GL is more related to biomass than abundance
- Greater weight to non-indigenous fishes
- Changed scaling from 1-100 [GL scoring system ranges from excellent (>80) to very poor (<20)]


## DFO-GLLFAS Near Shore Fish Community Survey Design 2014

- Boat electrofishing near shore surveys
- 100 m transects, less than 2 m deep, all surveys at night
- 93 transects total fished 20 in each of St. Joseph Island, Lake George, and Upper River, 33 in the Main River
- 35 small boat trawls completed (daytime), 2-5 m depths
- Total of 37 species collected


## Control River for AOC Site Added:

- Added the Mississagi River as a control for the St. Marys
 (an AOC site) - closest large river in the area
- Total of 20 sites electrofished (night work), 26 species collected
- Total of 6 trawls completed (daytime), 2 additional speçies collected Canadä̀





Comparing the 4 metrics from DFO's 2006-2008 work with 2009, 2014 for biomass, species richness, IBI, and HPI.

| St. Marys River | Upper river |  |  | Main river |  |  | Lake George |  |  | Lower river |  |  | Mississagi River |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006-200 |  |  | 2006-200 |  |  | 2006-200 |  |  | 2006-200 |  |  |  |  |
| Metric name | 8 | 2009 | 2014 | 8 | 2009 | 2014 | 8 | 2009 | 2014 | 8 | 2009 | 2014 | Overall | 2014 |
| Biomass (kg) | 0.6 | 0.4 | 1.2 | 2.7 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 1.5 | 1.2 | 1.7 |
| Number captured | 12.3 | 20.1 | 37.5 | 43.9 | 26.1 | 30 | 21.6 | 15.1 | 31.4 | 60.7 | 60 | 66.8 | 36.1 | 30.7 |
| Species richness | 3.6 | 4.6 | 3.2 | 9.2 | 6.9 | 5.7 | 4.8 | 4.7 | 4.8 | 7 | 7.3 | 7.3 | 6 | 6.8 |
| Native species richness | 3.5 | 4.6 | 3.1 | 8.3 | 6 | 5.2 | 4.8 | 4.7 | 4.3 | 7 | 7.5 | 7.1 | 5.6 | 6.7 |
| Native cyprinid species richness | 1 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 2.2 | 1.8 | 1.5 | 1.9 | 2.5 | 2.7 | 3.1 | 3 | 2.9 | 2 | 2.6 |
| Percent piscivore biomass | 3 | 0.12 | 1 | 8.1 | 0.5 | 3 | 3 | 8.1 | 8.6 | 28.6 | 15.6 | 26.3 | 8.7 | 39.5 |
| Percent generalist biomass | 14.5 | 22.2 | 24.6 | 64.6 | 54.1 | 53.2 | 31.8 | 25.5 | 17.9 | 21.9 | 31.5 | 35.2 | 34.9 | 12 |
| Percent specialist biomass | 75.1 | 65.9 | 69.4 | 27.4 | 39.6 | 43.8 | 65.2 | 66.3 | 68.5 | 49.5 | 52.8 | 38.4 | 53.8 | 48.5 |
| Percent non-indigenous species by number | 1.1 | 0 | 0.5 | 6.3 | 5.5 | 3.8 | 0.2 | 0 | 3.8 | 0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 2.1 | 0.6 |
| Percent non-indigenous species by biomass | 5 | 0 | 1 | 2.3 | 0.8 | 3.7 | 2.2 | 0 | 9.5 | 0 | 0.1 | 0 | 2.3 | 4.7 |
| Index of biotic integrity | 48 | 50.4 | 49.2 | 56.9 | 49.3 | 52.8 | 54.9 | 56.7 | 52.5 | 70.9 | 66.7 | 71.8 | 56.6 | 65.9 |

The average biomass, catch in numbers, species richness per 100 m transect, and the average index of biotic integrity metrics, from the four St. Marys River sampling locations and the Mississagi River

## Total Species Collected Near shore Sampling (55):

American brook lamprey
*sea lamprey
lake sturgeon
longnose gar
bowfin
*alewife
"pink salmon
*coho salmon
*Chinook salmon
*rainbow trout
*Atlantic salmon
lake whitefish
round whitefish
Cisco
*rainbow smelt
northern pike
central mudminnow
longnose sucker
white sucker

| silver redhorse | brown bullhead |
| :--- | :--- |
| shorthead redhorse | burbot |
| redhorse sp. | brook stickleback |
| lake chub | *threespine stickleback |
| *common carp | ninespine stickleback |
| common shiner | trout-perch |
| golden shiner | white bass |
| emerald shiner | rock bass |
| blacknose shiner | pumpkinseed |
| spottail shiner | smallmouth bass |
| rosyface shiner | largemouth bass |
| sand shiner | yellow perch |
| mimic shiner | walleye |
| bluntnose minnow | lowa darter |
| blacknose dace | Johnny darter |
| longnose dace | logperch |
| creek chub | Etheostoma sp. |
| silver shiner | mottled sculpin |
| Notropis sp. | slimy sculpin |

## Cumulative Species Catch

| St. Marys River | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2014 | Mississagi <br> River | Mississagi <br> River Trawl | St. Marys River <br> Trawl |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Species Captured | 37 | 36 | 31 | 34 | 37 | 25 | 9 | 15 |
| Total New Species | 37 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 0 |
| Cumulative Species | 37 | 42 | 45 | 49 | 53 | 56 | 57 | 57 |

## 2014 Fishing Summary

|  | Upper <br> River | Main <br> River | Lake <br> George | Lower <br> River | St. Marys <br> Trawl | Mississagi <br> River | Mississagi <br> Trawl |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sites Fished | 20 | 33 | 20 | 20 | 35 | 20 | 6 |
| Number of Species | 12 | 25 | 15 | 24 | 15 | 25 | 9 |
| Total Catch | 749 | 978 | 628 | 1713 | 1357 | 614 | 228 |
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|  | St. Marys <br> River | Mississagi <br> River | Hamilton <br> Harbour | Toronto <br> Harbour | Bay of <br> Quinte | Penetang <br> Harbour | Hog Bay |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2014 | 2014 | 2013 | 2014 | 2011 | 2002 | 2002 |
| Metric name | 1.2 | 1.7 | 5.6 | 5.5 | 6.1 | 1.6 | 4.5 |
| Biomass (kg) | 36.1 | 30.7 | 18.7 | 19.2 | 63.9 | 30.5 | 26.1 |
| Number captured | 6 | 6.8 | 4.6 | 3.4 | 8.8 | 5.4 | 6.7 |
| Species richness | 5.6 | 6.7 | 3.4 | 2.3 | 8.1 | 5 | 6.3 |
| Native species richness | 2 | 2.6 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.9 | 1.2 | 1.1 |
| Native cyprinid species richness | 8.7 | 39.5 | 11.8 | 9.5 | 41.4 | 34.4 | 43.1 |
| Percent piscivore biomass | 34.9 | 12 | 38.2 | 26.1 | 16.5 | 7.4 | 20.6 |
| Percent generalist biomass | 53.8 | 48.5 | 38.6 | 54.9 | 42.1 | 54.7 | 36.3 |
| Percent specialist biomass | 2.1 | 0.6 | 25.8 | 37.9 | 5.9 | 4.5 | 3 |
| Percent non-indigenous species by number | 2.1 | 4.7 | 34.1 | 32.5 | 8.4 | 4.3 | 15.8 |
| Percent non-indigenous species by biomass | 2.3 | 4.7 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Index of biotic integrity | 56.6 | 65.9 | 39.5 | 35.5 | 73.3 | 64.8 | 66 |

The average biomass, catch in numbers, species richness per 100 m transect, and the average index of biotic integrity metrics, from the four St. Marys River sampling locations and the Mississagi River

## Questions?
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